

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,695	03/08/2004	Wayne D. Young	19680-009000US	2868
20350	7590 01/18/2008		EXAMINER	
	D AND TOWNSEND AN	D CREW, LLP		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ISCO, CA 94111-3834			
			DATE MAIL ED. 01/19/2009	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief YOUNG, WAYNE D. 10/796,695 (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner **Art Unit** 2628 Aaron M. Richer --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--The Appeal Brief filed on 08 October 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper 1. \Box heading or in the proper order.

by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).

At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a

(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any,

The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to

5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))

6. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).

7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).

canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).

statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).

8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).

9. The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).

10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

The Status of Claims states that claims 1-3 and 6-20 are the subject for appeal. However, in the Grounds of Rejection section, only the claims rejected under 102(e) are listed as to be reviewed on appeal. The Grounds of Rejection should include all of the claims and rejections since all are stated as the basis for appeal. Additionally, since the rejections of claims 6-9, 16, and 19 are dependent on the 102(e) rejections of claims 1 and 12, the appellant is actually challenging those rejections as well, and so such rejections should be included in the Grounds of Rejections heading.

KEE M. TUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2. \square

4. □